ISSN: 3007-9632 DOI: https://doi.org/10.70114/aimedr.2026.1.1.P79

# The Impact of Global FinTech Development on Cross-Border Capital Flows and Regulatory Frameworks

Jing Hong

School of Finance and Accounting Fuzhou University of International Studies and Trade,No. 28, Yuhuan Road, Shouzhan New District, Changle District, Fuzhou City, Fujian Province.

hongjing0622@163.com

**Abstract.** FinTech transforms cross-border payments from expensive, slow processes to cheaper, faster alternatives. Digital payment systems now handle more remittances and small transactions through official channels, with growth expected through the early 2030s. These changes affect how capital moves globally—funds flow more quickly and react faster to market signals. Stablecoins and cryptocurrencies create new payment routes that challenge traditional currency controls and money laundering prevention. Governments respond with updated payment regulations, instant settlement networks, open banking policies, and digital currency trials. This paper examines how technology and regulation interact in cross-border finance, proposing better international cooperation, tech-enabled oversight, stronger domestic systems, and consumer safeguards to capture efficiency gains while maintaining financial stability.

**Keywords:** FinTech; cross-border capital flows; cross-border payments; RegTech; stablecoins; digital currency; international regulatory cooperation.

## 1. Introduction

FinTech refers to the transformation of financial services through mobile internet, big data, artificial intelligence, and blockchain technology. Its scope spans payments and clearing, credit intermediation, wealth management, insurance, and supervisory technology. The global cross-border payment market has reached a hundred-trillion-dollar scale, with remittances involving hundreds of millions of people and hundreds of billions of US dollars annually. Yet traditional channels have long suffered from high fees and slow settlement processes. The average global cost of sending remittances remains around 6 percent, far from the United Nations' target of 3 percent [1].

As digital payments and digital currencies penetrate more deeply into financial systems, cross-border payments are accelerating toward real-time and low-fee operations [2]. The micro-circulation of capital across the world is becoming finer and denser, while macro-level volatility may intensify due to rising synchronization. How regulation can balance fostering innovation with maintaining stability has therefore become a central governance question for cross-border capital in the digital era.

## 2. How FinTech Is Reshaping Cross-Border Capital Flows

Efficiency gains manifest primarily in reduced costs and processing time. Declining fees and improved user experiences are channeling remittances and long-tail transactions into formal digital conduits [3], expanding both the total scale of cross-border funds and the reachable population.

Structurally, small, high-frequency transactions by individuals and small-to-medium enterprises (SMEs) are rising as a share of total flows. Cross-border e-commerce and service outsourcing are drawing a broader set of actors into the global circulation of funds. In terms of pathways, disintermediation allows some transactions to move off traditional banking channels and settle via platform-built networks or distributed ledgers. Even within incumbent systems, open interfaces and third-party initiation are weakening single-channel dominance.

ISSN: 3007-9632 DOI: https://doi.org/10.70114/aimedr.2026.1.1.P79

Multi-path concurrency enhances overall efficiency, but it requires stronger regulatory penetration to implement foreign exchange control and anti-money laundering monitoring. In terms of volatility, lower friction and stronger synchronicity mean that funds respond to information more quickly and consistently, thereby shortening the time scale of the "inflow and outflow" cycle. In certain circumstances, the cross-border accessibility of stablecoins and crypto assets may exacerbate the pressure of asset outflows and currency substitution, prompting monetary authorities to re-adjust the effectiveness of cross-border policy tools [4].

Over a relatively long period of time, official-sector settlement layers incorporating CBDCs and multilateral interoperability will alter the base architecture of cross-border clearing. Interconnection and direct links among regional payment networks will increase the weight of intra-regional capital and payment circulation. Institutional flows and the "mass of the minute" will operate in parallel, so system analysis must not focus solely on large multinational institutions, nor ignore the systemic effects that emerge from the aggregation of innumerable small transactions.

## 3. Regulatory Adaptation and Illustrative Jurisdictions

The European Union advances unified legislation and integrated infrastructure. The second Payment Services Directive has propelled open banking and cross-border service competition [5]. E-money rules and passporting enable supervised non-bank payment institutions to operate across the single market. The Single Euro Payments Area has removed structural barriers to cross-border euro transfers within the region. Instant settlement platforms offer multi-currency capabilities and are pushing banks to provide low-cost instant services to customers.

In digital-asset oversight, the Markets in Crypto-Assets regulation establishes a unified framework and imposes licensing, full-reserve backing, and disclosure requirements on stablecoin issuance [6]. This brings such instruments within the regulatory perimeter to mitigate risks of cross-border outflows and monetary substitution while providing legal certainty for compliant innovation.

The United States, under a fragmented regulatory structure, follows a path of incremental legislation and stronger enforcement. In payments, state licensing predominates while federal agencies explore data rights and open-banking rules. Securities and derivatives regulators have intensified actions on crypto issuance and trading venues. The Treasury Department has brought virtual-asset service providers within AML supervision. On stablecoins, federal legislation establishes one-hundred-percent high-quality liquid reserve requirements, periodic audits, and disclosures, bringing large issuers within the central bank's oversight. The rollout of domestic instant payment systems has boosted onshore settlement efficiency and proceeds in step with international discussions. Authorities participate in multilateral work on illicit cross-border flows and global stablecoin issues.

Singapore has built a regime that is "pro-innovation with firm guardrails." Regulatory sandboxes provide controlled environments for testing new products. The Payment Services Act uses an activity-based framework to cover cross-border remittances, e-money, and digital-token services with tiered obligations matched to scale and risk. Supervisors have issued evolving guidance for stablecoins and crypto platforms, requiring adequate reserves, redemption rights, and cybersecurity standards. Digital-economy agreements and central-bank memoranda of understanding have accelerated interconnection of fast payment systems with neighboring countries, achieving QR-to-QR and phone-number-to-account cross-border payments. Pilots such as Project Ubin and Project Dunbar, along with joint wholesale CBDC tests with other central banks, have validated the technology for cross-border wholesale settlement.

Together, these approaches demonstrate that harmonized rules, tiered licensing, and cross-border cooperation are useful ways to balance speed and stability.

ISSN: 3007-9632 DOI: https://doi.org/10.70114/aimedr.2026.1.1.P79

## 4. Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

FinTech makes cross-border payments cheaper and faster, but this creates new challenges. Money now moves more quickly across borders, which can amplify market volatility when investors react to news. The rise of stablecoins and cryptocurrencies offers new payment options but also tests existing financial controls and regulations.

To manage these risks while preserving benefits, we identify four priority areas. First, countries need better coordination on cryptocurrency rules to prevent regulatory arbitrage and ensure consistent oversight. Second, regulators should adopt smarter monitoring systems using AI technology to track suspicious transactions in real-time while creating safe spaces for testing new financial products. Third, domestic financial systems need stronger safeguards tailored to handle rapid capital flows, including backup settlement systems that don't rely on traditional banks. Finally, policymakers must ensure that ordinary people and small businesses can access these innovations safely through better education and consumer protections.

The goal is not to slow down innovation but to build guardrails that allow the benefits of cheaper, faster payments to spread widely without destabilizing the financial system. Success requires ongoing collaboration between technologists, regulators, and market participants.

## Reference

[1] Ante L. From adoption to continuance: stablecoins in cross-border remittances and the role of digital and financial literacy. Telematics and Informatics, 2025, 97: 102230.

[2] Chen H., Siklos P. L. Central bank digital currency: a review and some macro-financial implications. Journal of Financial Stability, 2022, 60: 100985.

[3] Kpodar K., Imam P. A. How do transaction costs influence remittances? World Development, 2024, 177: 106537.

[4] Le A. H., Copestake A., Tan B., Papageorgiou E., Peiris S. J., Rawat U. Macro-financial impacts of foreign digital money. Economics Letters, 2025, 255: 112458.

[5] Colangelo G. Open banking goes to Washington: lessons from the EU on regulatory-driven data sharing regimes. Computer Law & Security Review, 2024, 54: 106018.

[6] Cappai M. The role of private and public regulation in the case study of crypto-assets: the Italian move towards participatory regulation. Computer Law & Security Review, 2023, 49: 105831.